

Sharmili Karthik

Poverty and Behavioural Economics

BEHAVIORAL ECONOMICS | POVERTY ALLEVIATION | FINANCIAL INCLUSION



© 2025 The Digital Economist. All rights reserved.

This publication is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution–NonCommercial–NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means—including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods—without the prior written permission of The Digital Economist, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews or certain other noncommercial uses permitted by copyright law.

For permission requests, please contact:

The Digital Economist

Email: info@thedigitaleconomist.com

Website: www.thedigitaleconomist.com

Abstract

The cycle of poverty is perpetuated by a behavioral economic phenomenon in which individuals in poverty become excessively preoccupied with their immediate requirements, impeding long-term planning and decision-making. This paper explores the psychological consequences of scarcity and its influence on financial behavior, with a particular emphasis on how it confines individuals in a reactive mindset. It investigates how these dynamics perpetuate poverty by concentrating on the intersection of behavioral economics and specific socioeconomic factors, such as women's empowerment and housing instability. The paper proposes targeted interventions that can disrupt the cycle of poverty by addressing the underlying behavioral economic drivers, utilizing extant policies from other countries.

Introduction

In 2024, the United States economy is confronted with inflation, monetary policy adjustments, and global economic pressures that exacerbate destitution. These macroeconomic factors, in conjunction with behavioral economic patterns, establish a self-reinforcing cycle that perpetuates financial instability among the impoverished. This paper seeks to offer a sophisticated examination of the impact of scarcity psychology on economic decision-making and the potential for targeted policy interventions to alleviate these effects. This research presents a cohesive narrative that connects historical factors with contemporary economic challenges, focusing on specific variables such as housing instability and women's empowerment.

Literature Review

The psychology of scarcity is a well-documented phenomenon that explains why individuals in poverty often make short-term, reactive financial decisions. Three key studies illustrate this dynamic:

1. **Mullainathan and Shafir (2013)**, in their seminal work, *Scarcity: Why Having Too Little Means So Much*, argue that scarcity captures the mind, leading to a tunnel vision that prioritizes immediate needs over future planning.
2. **Mani et al. (2013)**, in their study published in *Science*, demonstrate how financial scarcity impairs cognitive function, reducing the ability to make sound decisions.
3. **Haushofer and Fehr (2014)**, through their research in *Behavioral Economics*, show that poverty leads to stress and negative emotions, which further undermine long-term decision-making.

Conversely, increasing financial surplus can lead to more proactive, long-term planning. Three studies highlight this shift:

1. **Bertrand et al. (2004)** found that providing individuals with even a small increase in income can significantly improve their financial planning behaviors.
2. **Karlan et al. (2010)** demonstrated that microfinance interventions lead to increased savings and investment in the future among the poor.
3. **Banerjee and Duflo (2011)**, in their book *Poor Economics*, show how even marginal improvements in income can lead to significant changes in financial behavior, with long-term benefits.

The economic consequences of these psychological dynamics are profound. The following section examines how inflation, output fluctuations, and exchange rates in the US economy exacerbate these behaviors.



01

US Economic Conditions and Poverty

In 2024, the United States economy is defined by a precarious equilibrium between inflation management and economic expansion. Low-income households have encountered substantial obstacles as a result of the inflation rate's 3.3 percent increase from May 2023 to May 2024. The impoverished are disproportionately affected by high inflation, as they spend a greater portion of their income on necessities, which erodes their purchasing power. This dynamic is reminiscent of a regressive tax system, where the burden is disproportionately borne by those who are least able to endure it.

Poverty is also directly influenced by output fluctuations. Job creation is more likely to increase as output increases, providing more opportunities for the impoverished to generate income. Nevertheless, welfare program cuts are the result of a decrease in government revenues and a reduction in employment opportunities during periods of economic contraction. Low-income households are ensnared in a cycle of short-term survival strategies as a result of these fluctuations, making long-term financial planning virtually impossible.

This situation is further complicated by the exchange rate. Consumers' cost of products and services has increased as a result of weaker domestic currency, which in turn increases the cost of imports. This results in a further deterioration of the purchasing power of the impoverished, who are unable to readily transition to more affordable alternatives. The combined effects of inflation, output fluctuations, and exchange rate volatility impede the financial stability of the impoverished.



02

Housing Instability and the Poverty Trap

The poverty trap is perpetuated by housing instability, which is a critical factor. The instability of housing leads to a series of adverse consequences that affect health, education, and overall financial stability. For instance, the housing instability in Detroit has resulted in substantial public health crises, including elevated rates of cardiovascular disease and adverse mental health outcomes among residents. This instability forces households to allocate a significant portion of their income to housing expenses, leaving little to fund other essentials such as health care and nutritious food.

The feedback loop between housing instability and health is particularly detrimental. Individuals experiencing poor living conditions and heightened stress see their health decline, leading to increased medical expenses. These additional costs further strain their already-limited financial resources. This, in turn, exacerbates the challenge of obtaining stable housing, thereby perpetuating the cycle of poverty.

03

Women's Empowerment as a Catalyst for Economic Mobility

The Empowerment of Women as a Catalyst for Economic Mobility: In low-income countries (LDCs), empowering women is a crucial strategy for breaking the cycle of poverty. Women's empowerment fosters economic growth, enhanced health outcomes, and increased educational attainment for future generations while simultaneously addressing gender inequality.

In numerous LDCs, women are confronted with systemic obstacles that restrict their capacity to completely engage in the economy. For example, in rural India, women frequently encounter obstacles in their pursuit of economic independence due to their limited access to financial resources and education. The Manndeshi Foundation, a microfinance institution in India, has developed a model that offers women modest loans, financial literacy training, and support for entrepreneurship, thereby addressing these challenges. This method has been demonstrated to enhance the economic participation of women, resulting in broader socioeconomic benefits for their communities.

The potential for targeted interventions to empower women and break the cycle of poverty is underscored by the success of microfinance models such as Manndeshi. These programs can assist women in achieving economic independence by increasing their access to financial resources and education, which in turn has a positive impact on their families and communities.

Women's empowerment fosters economic growth, enhanced health outcomes, and increased educational attainment for future generations.



04

International Economic Disparities and Neocolonialism

Although the United States serves as a microcosm of poverty dynamics, it is crucial to consider the global context, where economic disparities are even more pronounced. International economic practices, including commodity dependence and neocolonialism, frequently exacerbate poverty in least developed countries.

Neocolonialism is the term used to describe the ongoing economic dominance of former colonies by more developed nations. This dynamic is demonstrated in the trade relationships between countries such as Algeria and France, where Algeria is heavily reliant on trade with France while France derives only a minor portion of its trade from Algeria. This imbalance restricts the development potential of LDCs and perpetuates economic dependency.

Commodity dependence further entrenches LDCs in a cycle of economic vulnerability. Global market fluctuations and price volatility can result in unstable income and impede long-term economic development in countries that significantly depend on the export of a few primary commodities. For instance, Venezuela's economic instability has been exacerbated by its reliance on oil exports, which have resulted in frequent booms and crashes that have disrupted social welfare programs and exacerbated poverty.

The exploitative relationships between core and peripheral countries can be analyzed through the lens of Wallerstein's World-Systems Theory and Dependency Theory, which both emphasize these international dynamics. These theories emphasize the necessity of a more equitable global economic system that enables LDCs to diversify their economies and achieve sustainable development.

05

Behavioral Economics and Policy Solutions

Behavioral economics provides valuable instruments for developing effective policies that address poverty. For instance, research has demonstrated that the financial inclusion and stability of low-income households can be considerably enhanced by making small, behaviorally informed adjustments, such as simplifying bank fee structures or providing more detailed information about financial products.

The design of housing policies is a practical application of behavioral economics. Policymakers can facilitate the acquisition of stable housing for low-income households by enhancing transparency and minimizing situational barriers. For instance, the establishment of local offices with convenient hours or the provision of explicit, step-by-step guides to applying for housing support can assist in reducing the obstacles that impede individuals from obtaining stable housing.

In the same vein, policies that economically empower women can be informed by behavioral economics. The Manndeshi model's success indicates that women can attain economic independence and break the cycle of poverty by providing culturally sensitive support, financial literacy training, and microloans.

06

Policy Implications

The integration of behavioral economics insights with a concentration on specific socioeconomic factors, such as women's empowerment and housing instability, is essential for the development of effective policies that address the root causes of poverty. The subsequent policy recommendations are predicated on this integrated approach:

- 1. Improve financial inclusion:** Improve access to banking services for low-income households by implementing behaviorally informed strategies. This encompasses the establishment of default options such as automatic savings plans, the provision of plain information about financial products, and the simplification of bank fee structures.
- 2. Address housing instability:** Enhance the transparency of housing assistance programs and mitigate the situational obstacles that impede the acquisition of stable housing for low-income households. This can be accomplished by engaging the community, distributing plain information, and utilizing local support services.
- 3. Economical empowerment of women:** Adapt microfinance programs, such as those offered by the Manndeshi Foundation, to the cultural and economic contexts of other countries. This encompasses the provision of financial literacy training, support for entrepreneurship, and the establishment of credit systems that incentivize expeditious loan repayments and community contributions.
- 4. Promote global economic equity:** Advocate the implementation of equitable trade agreements and policies that mitigate the economic exploitation of least developed countries by more developed nations. Encourage the diversification of economies in LDCs to reduce reliance on a limited number of primary commodities and to establish more resilient economic systems.

Conclusion

The incorporation of behavioral economics into policy design offers a promising approach to addressing critical issues such as women's economic empowerment and housing instability. Policymakers can develop interventions that are more effective in breaking the cycle of poverty by concentrating on specific variables and making modest, behaviorally informed adjustments. The potential for targeted interventions to empower individuals and communities, resulting in broader socioeconomic benefits, is underscored by the success of models such as Manndeshi. In conclusion, a more sustainable and inclusive economic system that benefits all can be established by addressing the fundamental causes of poverty and promoting global economic equity.

Author:

Sharmili Karthik

Research Intern, The Digital Economist

References:

1. Banerjee, Abhijit V., and Esther Duflo. 2011. *Poor Economics: A Radical Rethinking of the Way to Fight Global Poverty*. Public Affairs.
2. Bertrand, Marianne, et al. 2004. "What's Psychology Worth? A Field Experiment in the Consumer Credit Market." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119 (1): 149–199.
3. Haushofer, Johannes, and Ernst Fehr. 2014. "On the Psychology of Poverty." *Science* 344 (6186): 862–867.
4. Karlan, Dean, et al. 2010. "Impact of Microcredit in Rural Areas of Morocco: Evidence from a Randomized Evaluation." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 6 (1): 55–91.
5. Mani, Anandi, et al. 2013. "Poverty Impedes Cognitive Function." *Science* 341 (6149): 976–980.
6. Mullainathan, Sendhil, and Eldar Shafir. 2013. *Scarcity: Why Having Too Little Means So Much*. Times Books.
7. Northwell Health. 2022. "Housing Instability and Cardiovascular Disease." *Northwell Health Research Institute*.
8. "Urban Institute." 2023. Urban Institute, www.urban.org/.
9. University of Michigan. 2017. "Detroit Evictions and Public Health." *University of Michigan School of Public Health*.
10. Wallerstein, Immanuel. 1974. *The Modern World-System*. Academic Press.

SUBMISSION DATE: JULY 15, 2024

PUBLICATION DATE: MAY 30, 2025



About

The Digital Economist, based out of Washington D.C. is an ecosystem of 40,000+ executives and senior leaders dedicated to creating the future we want to see: where digital technologies serve humanity and life. We work closely with governments and multi-stakeholder organizations to change the game: how we create and measure value. With a clear focus on high-impact projects, we serve as partners of key global players in co-building the future through scientific research, strategic advisory and venture build out. We are industry-agnostic as most high-impact projects touch many different industries. Our portfolio ranges from energy transition to ethics in emerging technology.

CONTACT: [INFO@THEDIGITALECONOMIST.COM](mailto:info@thedigitaleconomist.com)