



Dr. Sindhu Bhaskar

Embedded Value Transfer and Neuralink Settlement System

IDEATIONAL ECONOMY | NEURO-RIGHTS AND GOVERNANCE |
PROGRAMMABLE THOUGHT



© 2025 The Digital Economist. All rights reserved.

This publication is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution–NonCommercial–NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means—including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods—without the prior written permission of The Digital Economist, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews or certain other noncommercial uses permitted by copyright law.

For permission requests, please contact:

The Digital Economist

Email: info@thedigitaleconomist.com

Website: www.thedigitaleconomist.com



Contents

Preface	4
Plan of the Policy Paper	5
Structure of the Policy Paper	6
<u>1.</u> Introduction: From Embedded Finance to Embedded Intent	7
<u>2.</u> The Ideational Economy	9
2.1 From Transactional to Ideational Exchange	9
2.2 Demonstrated Feasibility	9
<u>3.</u> The Neuralink Settlement System (NSS)	11
3.1 Protocol Design: The Neuron Transaction Protocol (NTP)	11
3.2 Expanded Use Cases	11
<u>4.</u> Policy Implications	13
4.1 Economic Policy	13
4.2 Ethical and Legal Safeguards	13
4.3 Geopolitical Considerations	14
<u>5.</u> Ethical Dilemmas and Philosophical Questions	15
<u>6.</u> Regulatory Framework Proposal	16
<u>7.</u> Toward Web 4.0's Ideational Markets	18
Conclusion	19
References	21



Preface

It is my great pleasure to introduce the concept of Embedded Value Transfer in relation to the Neuralink Settlement System. This paper shall resonate with those who are shaping the intersection of neurotechnology, AI, and global financial systems. Academics and innovators shall be assisted while seeking to understand how intent-based transactions could redefine both markets and human autonomy.

My journey in fintech is quite old. Over the past ten to twelve years, I have been involved in the day-to-day development of transformative technologies. Registering a gold-backed treasury token (a form of stablecoin) and developing the EST Group of companies to create meaningful impact has been a long journey. Along the way, I began documenting my experiences and sharing them with younger generations.

Since 2020, I have compiled material on various topics. This policy document is a culmination of my earlier writings, including *DAO and Digital Socialism* and *Decentralized Humanism* (both in print). These works explore the structural and functional aspects of the social matrix aligned with the frontier technologies. DAOs serve as harbingers of decentralized humanism (DeHu), stabilizing economic value creation. For societies to thrive, a value-transfer mechanism must be established, aligned with the ever-changing technologies.

This paper argues that the evolution from embedded finance (Web 3.0) to embedded value transfer (Web 4.0) marks a profound shift in the global value matrix—where intent itself becomes the medium of exchange. By examining the emerging Neuralink Settlement System (NSS) as a prototype of this transition, the paper contends that humanity is entering an ideational economy, in which cognition, not computation, authenticates value.

The thesis underscores that this transformation demands urgent policy intervention and ethical design. Without clear neuro-rights, consent protocols, and equitable access frameworks, the same technologies that promise frictionless global trade could also commodify human thought and autonomy. Therefore, the paper positions NSS not merely as a technological innovation but as a civilizational inflection point, calling for coordinated governance between technologists, policymakers, and investors to shape a just and inclusive neural economy.



Plan of the Policy Paper

Core Objective

To present a comprehensive policy narrative on the **Neuralink Settlement System (NSS)**, which serves as the foundation of Web 4.0's embedded value transfer. The paper will explore its technological possibilities, economic implications, ethical dilemmas, and governance frameworks.

This paper is intended for policymakers, technology regulators, digital finance architects, and forward-looking investors who are shaping the intersection of neurotechnology, AI, and global financial systems. It also serves as a conceptual guide for academics and innovators seeking to understand how intent-based transactions could redefine both markets and human autonomy.

Approach

- **Narrative + Policy Fusion:** The paper blends speculative foresight with practical governance design. It achieves this balance through illustrative case studies (e.g., rural carbon-credit loans, robot-to-robot trade), comparative policy analysis (drawing parallels with digital currency frameworks and neuro-rights laws), and scenario modeling (contrasting developed and emerging market applications).
- The narrative portions humanize the technology—showing how Neuralink-like systems could transform daily life—while the policy analysis grounds those visions in regulatory, ethical, and economic reality. This dual method ensures that the document reads as both a strategic foresight essay and a governance blueprint.
- **Examples + Quotations:** Combining real-life experiments (Neuralink), academic insights (Harari, Yuste, Buterin), and speculative scenarios (rural micro-grids, neural applause).
- **Global + Local Balance:** Demonstrate NSS in developed contexts (robot trade, digital dollar) and in emerging economies (rural stock exchanges, carbon credit loans).
- **Critical Lens:** Highlight both opportunities (efficiency, inclusion) and risks (neuro-surveillance, intent commodification).



Structure of the Policy Paper

1. Introduction: From Embedded Finance to Embedded Intent

This section traces the evolution from Web 2.0 to Web 4.0, showing how embedded value transfer marks the next stage of digital integration. Drawing on Harari's idea of "mind engineering," it frames how technology is moving from financial embedding toward embedding human intent itself.

2. The Ideational Economy

It explores the shift from transactional to ideational exchange, where value emerges from thoughts and intent. Referencing Neuralink (2020) and Buterin (2022), it positions cognitive identity as the new foundation of economic participation.

3. Neuralink Settlement System (NSS)

This section introduces the Neuralink Settlement System and its Neuron Transaction Protocol, outlining its design, purpose, and potential applications—from robotic trade and micro-grids to neural applause, remittances, and mental health services.

4. Policy Implications

It examines the economic (efficiency, inclusion, taxation), ethical (neuro-rights, consent, autonomy), and geopolitical (digital dollar vs. BRICS neuro-currencies, intent tariffs, inequalities) dimensions of neural economies.

5. Ethical Dilemmas and Philosophical Questions

This section contrasts autonomy with the commodification of intent, referencing Harari (2016), Stern (2023), and Kantian ethics, and emphasizes Yuste's (2017) neuro-rights initiative as a safeguard for cognitive freedom.

6. Regulatory Framework Proposal

It proposes a Neuro-rights Charter, domestic laws, and global governance institutions inspired by climate accords, GDPR, and CBDC regulation to ensure ethical oversight and accountability.

7. Toward Web 4.0's Ideational Markets

This section envisions frictionless, inclusive ideational markets that connect rural and urban communities and rest on trust, dignity, and human-centered design.

8. Conclusion

The paper concludes by highlighting both the promise and risks of ideational economies, urging policymakers to protect thought as sacred and regulate with foresight and empathy.

References

Harari (2016), Musk (2020), Buterin (2022), Yuste (2017), Stern (2023)



1.

Introduction: From Embedded Finance to Embedded Intent

In the evolution of the digital economy, each phase of the web has been defined by what it embedded into daily life. Web 2.0 embedded communication into platforms, making interaction continuous and global. Web 3.0 embedded finance into code, turning money into programmable assets secured by cryptography. The natural progression from these systems of coded trust is toward embedded intent—where human cognition itself becomes the instrument of verification and exchange. Web 4.0, therefore, represents a new frontier: embedded value transfer—not mediated through apps or screens, but through thought itself. By transforming intent into transaction, it completes the arc from digital interaction to cognitive participation, redefining what it means to transact, trust, and create value.

In this vision, transactions are no longer keystrokes or wallet signatures but the firing of neurons—the subtle electric pulses of intent. As Yuval Noah Harari reflected in *Homo Deus* (2016): “Once we learn how to engineer minds, the basic concepts of human life might never be the same again.” The shift from engineering cognition to embedding it directly into economic settlement signals a civilizational leap.

Neuralink, the brain-computer interface company founded by Elon Musk, has already demonstrated “telepathic typing” by enabling a quadriplegic patient to move a cursor with thought alone (Musk 2020). If thought can manipulate machines, extending it to settlement—making thought the key, the wallet, and the ledger entry—becomes not only plausible but inevitable.

This policy paper proposes the Neuralink Settlement System (NSS) as a speculative yet policy-grounded framework for intent-based value transfer—a foresight model that anticipates how neuro-technological integration could, within the coming decade, rewire trade, finance, governance, and human autonomy itself. It is not a prediction of immediate deployment but a normative roadmap, outlining the ethical, regulatory, and infrastructural principles that must guide such systems before they move from laboratory prototypes to economic realities.



2.

The Ideational Economy

2.1 From Transactional to Ideational Exchange

In Web 3.0, sending value requires a digital wallet, private keys, tokens, and cryptographic verification. In Web 4.0, the process may be reduced to intent alone:

- *I wish to pay for my autonomous taxi.*
- *I intend to tip the AI musician who composed a song in my living room.*
- *I agree to pledge 1,000 neuron-credits to finance this rural micro-grid.*

This radical simplification transforms the nature of exchange. Transactions become *ideational*, not transactional—grounded in cognition instead of computation.

Vitalik Buterin's reflections on soulbound tokens (Buterin 2022) anticipated this paradigm, suggesting that economic systems will evolve around identity and intent rather than liquidity and speculation. Extending his idea: *the brain itself may become the wallet, and the signal of intent the new private key.*

2.2 Demonstrated Feasibility

Elon Musk's Neuralink demo in 2020 was more than a medical miracle—it was a glimpse into Web 4.0. By decoding neural signals, researchers allowed a quadriplegic to interact with a cursor through thought alone. Imagine extending that from controlling pixels to transferring tokens.

DARPA's Next-Generation Nonsurgical Neurotechnology (N³) program aims to develop high-performance, bi-directional brain-machine interfaces that operate without surgical implantation. Its focus on real-time decoding and encoding of neural activity demonstrates the growing feasibility of practical, safe, and scalable neurocommunication systems (DARPA).



In parallel, affective computing research—the field enabling machines to recognize and respond to human emotions—has begun to decode emotional states directly from neural and physiological signals. At the MIT Media Lab’s Affective Computing Group, Dr. Rosalind Picard and colleagues have demonstrated algorithms capable of inferring emotional arousal from EEG patterns, galvanic skin response, and heart rate variability to predict empathy, stress, or satisfaction in real time (Picard et al., MIT 2019). Similarly, researchers at the University of Geneva’s Swiss Center for Affective Sciences (CISA) have mapped cortical activations corresponding to emotional responses such as “trust” and “appreciation,” creating datasets that link brain states with subjective valuation (Sander & Scherer 2020; Nature Reviews Neuroscience; The Oxford Companion to Emotion and the Affective Sciences).

These converging lines of inquiry suggest that emotional states—such as appreciation, trust, or agreement—can, in principle, be neurally verified, and thus, potentially translated into commitments and settlements.

Together, these foundations point toward *cognitive identity*: a fusion of biological, psychological, and digital selfhood that underpins ideational markets.





3.

The Neuralink Settlement System (NSS)

3.1 Protocol Design: The Neuron Transaction Protocol (NTP)

Just as TCP/IP standardized the internet, Web 4.0 may require a *Neuron Transaction Protocol (NTP)*. Its design would rest on three pillars:

- 1. Intent Capture:** Neuro-links decode electrical signals representing purposeful action.
- 2. Authentication:** Identity is verified through neuro-biometric markers unique to each brain.
- 3. Ledger Settlement:** Distributed ledgers (blockchain or post-blockchain architectures) record and finalize the exchange.

This system would *make intent the settlement layer*. Where Bitcoin gave us “trustless money” and Ethereum gave us “programmable contracts,” NTP would give us *programmable thought exchange*.

3.2 Expanded Use Cases

- **Robot-to-Robot Trade**

Two logistics drones encounter a storm and reroute cargo. They exchange carbon credits instantly via neuron transactions—no server calls, no API, no delay. Their embedded AI executes settlement as naturally as neurons fire in the human brain.

- **Human-to-Machine Settlement**

A commuter boards a driverless bus. Rather than tapping a card or scanning a QR code, her neural intent to pay is transmitted. The bus validates and deducts tokens from her cognitive wallet, frictionlessly settling the fare.



- **Community Balance Sheets**

In rural economies, platforms like EST AGRX and EST CNet0 already envision carbon-credit-backed loans. With NSS, farmers could pledge repayment intent directly through neuro-signatures. These neuro-pledges could serve as collateral in decentralized rural stock exchanges, transforming debt into community-held balance sheets in real time.

- **Cultural Value Transfer**

At a virtual concert, artists receive “neural applause” as audiences feel appreciation. This sentiment triggers micro-settlements, allowing culture to be supported in real time, blurring emotional resonance with economic exchange.

- **Global Remittances**

Migrants working abroad could remit money home by transmitting intent directly. No banks, no intermediaries—only a direct neuro-signal tied to a distributed ledger.

- **Mental Health Services**

Neural therapy sessions could include real-time value transfer based on felt therapeutic benefit. If a patient experiences genuine relief, the neural signal itself could authenticate settlement.





4.

Policy Implications

4.1 Economic Policy

The NSS promises the following:

- **Efficiency Gains:** Eliminating intermediaries in payments, remittances, and subsidies. Fully decentralized—Neuron to Neuron.
- **New Asset Classes:** “Neuron credits” could tokenize thought-energy as collateral.
- **Fiscal Integration:** Governments might embed taxation directly into neural settlements—turning intent into fiscal policy. However, this raises questions of distributional equity: if every micro-transaction or neural commitment is automatically taxed, low-income users could bear a heavier relative burden. To prevent regressive outcomes, states should design redistributive safeguards—for example, progressive neural-tax thresholds, exemptions for essential intent-based services (like healthcare or education), and automated rebate systems that return a portion of neural transaction taxes to economically vulnerable groups.

However, without safeguards, NSS could also accelerate inequality. Rural communities without access to neurotech could be excluded from ideational markets.

4.2 Ethical and Legal Safeguards

- **Neuro-Sovereignty:** Rafael Yuste (2017) argues that neural data must be treated as organ tissue. Laws should enshrine this principle to prevent exploitation.
- **Consent Protocols:** Every neuron transaction must carry explicit, revocable consent, preventing coercion or manipulation. Revocable consent could operate through a standardized neural “kill switch”—a cognitive command or biometric override that instantly halts all active neural transactions. Alternatively, time-bound permissions could auto-expire after a set duration, ensuring users periodically re-authorize access to their neural data or intent signals.



- **Neuro-Surveillance Risks:** Surveillance becomes possible when neural interfaces continuously transmit bioelectric data to centralized or state-controlled ledgers. By aggregating intent patterns, governments could infer behaviors, preferences, or even dissenting thoughts. Without strict encryption, decentralized architecture, and anonymization protocols, the same data that enables settlement could be exploited for predictive policing or behavioral taxation.

4.3 Geopolitical Considerations

- **CBDCs:** The rise of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) has already reignited global debates on digital sovereignty, monetary control, and data governance. As states test programmable currencies—like China's e-CNY, the EU's Digital Euro, and India's Digital Rupee—concerns over privacy, surveillance, and cross-border interoperability mirror those that NSS would amplify. Policymakers view these CBDC trials as precursors to intent-based settlements: both involve programmable money, traceable transactions, and algorithmic enforcement of fiscal policy. Thus, the Neuralink Settlement System could be seen as the next evolutionary layer—where monetary control shifts from digital code to neural intent, raising similar but deeper questions of autonomy and sovereignty.
- **Digital Dollar vs. BRICS Neuro-Currencies:** The US may attempt to integrate NSS into the digital dollar system, extending financial hegemony. BRICS could counter with neuro-linked stablecoin baskets.
- **Intent Tariffs:** Nations might impose programmable tariffs on cross-border neural transactions, replacing customs duties with “intent-based” trade barriers.
- **Global Inequality:** If neuro-economy standards are set only by advanced economies, developing nations risk marginalization.





5.

Ethical Dilemmas and Philosophical Questions

Jacob Stern's haunting question—"What if the technology designed to comfort us becomes the reason we need comforting?" (The Atlantic 2023)—applies here directly.

What if a system designed to ease settlement begins to control intent? If thoughts can be measured, authenticated, and monetized, do they remain free?

This dilemma resonates with Kantian ethics, which places moral weight on intention itself. Actions have value not for their outcomes but for the moral autonomy behind them. If intention becomes a tradable asset, morality risks being subsumed by market logic. Yet, from a utilitarian perspective, one might argue that monetized intent is ethically defensible if it maximizes collective welfare, for example, by enabling instant redistribution, carbon-credit settlements, or frictionless aid. This tension between individual autonomy and aggregated utility lies at the heart of NSS ethics.

Harari's warning that engineered minds could redefine human life looms large. Rafael Yuste's Neuro-Rights Initiative insists that "neural data should be treated as organ tissue" (Yuste et al. 2017). Just as laws protect hearts and kidneys from trade, so too must they protect thoughts. The central ethical question for NSS is therefore not how transactions occur, but who owns intent, and whether ownership of intent can ever be ethically shared in pursuit of social good?



6.

Regulatory Framework Proposal

6.1 Neuro-Rights Charter

A global Neuro-Rights Charter should enshrine the following:

- **Cognitive Liberty:** The right to think freely without coercion.
- **Mental Privacy:** The right to protect one's neural data.
- **Psychological Continuity:** Safeguards against manipulation of identity.
- **Equitable Access:** Ensuring NSS is not reserved for elites.
- **Right to Intent Withdrawal:** Revocable consent must be non-negotiable.

6.2 Domestic Legislation

- Mandate that neural data cannot be stored without explicit consent.
- Establish independent oversight bodies for neuro-tech firms.
- Integrate NSS into consumer protection frameworks.

6.3 Global Governance

- **Multilateral-Economy Council:** A multilateral body should be established to standardize protocols and oversee compliance, functioning similarly to the Paris Climate Accord or the EU's GDPR. The Neuro-Economy Council would establish global principles for the use of neuro-data, the integration of ethical AI, and the implementation of intent-based transactions, thereby ensuring interoperability between nations and systems.



- **Enforcement and Compliance:** Compliance could be monitored through a hybrid governance model—where national regulators enforce neuro-ethical standards domestically under global oversight. The Council would maintain an International Neuroledger Authority (INA) to audit compliance, issue certifications, and impose sanctions for violations. Penalties could include suspension from global NSS participation, revocation of interoperability licenses, or fines proportional to the economic scale of misconduct.
- **Ethical Sandboxes:** Before full-scale adoption, governments should operate Ethical Sandboxes—controlled environments where NSS technologies are tested under transparent oversight. These sandboxes would allow third-party audits, citizen review boards, and data ethics committees to evaluate privacy, consent, and impact before global rollout.



7.

Toward Web 4.0's Ideational Markets

Web 4.0 may redefine the very fabric of economics. Instead of labor, capital, or data, thought itself becomes a form of capital.

- **Frictionless Trade:** Settlements across borders in milliseconds.
- **Human-Machine Symbiosis:** Autonomous factories negotiating supply chains neurally.
- **Cultural Renaissance:** Artists, educators, and healers supported through real-time neural appreciation.
- **Inclusive Rural Finance:** Farmers in India or Africa accessing loans by pledging intent as collateral, secured by carbon credits.

However, the promise carries peril. If thought becomes the medium of exchange, inequality in access to neural interfaces could reproduce or deepen class divides. A new cognitive divide may be emerging to replace the digital divide.

Thus, the policy imperative is not only to regulate NSS but to democratize it. This can be achieved through public-private partnerships that fund affordable neuro-interface devices, subsidies for low-income populations to ensure equitable access, and open-source standards that prevent monopolization of neural protocols by a few dominant firms. International bodies could also establish a Global Neural Access Fund, similar to climate finance models, to support emerging economies in adopting NSS in an ethical and inclusive manner.



Conclusion

The Neuralink Settlement System (NSS) represents both possibility and peril. It could eliminate inefficiency, empower communities, and fuse identity with finance. Nevertheless, it could also commodify intention, compromise autonomy, and widen inequality.

To paraphrase Harari, the critical question will not be what can we buy with our thoughts? But what will our thoughts become once they are purchased?

The path forward requires a balance of innovation and ethics:

- Guard the sacredness of thought.
- Enforce neuro-rights globally.
- Build ideational markets on trust, not exploitation.

Ultimately, the Neuron Transaction Protocol (NTP), which enables neural settlements, will determine the moral architecture of Web 4.0. Protocols are never neutral. They encode the values, hierarchies, and power asymmetries of their designers. Whether NTP becomes a tool of liberation or surveillance depends on the ethical scaffolding we embed within its code.

Web 4.0 may yet become the age where thought itself is the medium of exchange—but whether it uplifts or enslaves will depend on how consciously policymakers, technologists, and citizens choose to govern the neural foundations of tomorrow's economy.



Author:

Dr. Sindhu Bhaskar

Dr. Sindhu Bhaskar is the Senior Executive Fellow (Sustainability in Tech) at The Digital Economist and the Chairman and CEO of EST Global Inc., an MIT ecosystem enterprise driving digital transformation across fintech, health tech, agritech, and edtech. With over three decades of leadership in financial services, he has championed digital development banking, financial inclusion, and innovation-driven entrepreneurship, bringing together more than 30 ventures with a presence in 17 countries. A Forbes Council Member, global speaker, and published author, he continues to advance socio-economic development through fintech while mentoring future leaders at management institutes worldwide.



References

1. Buterin, V. 2022. Soulbound (Blog Post). Ethereum Foundation.
2. DARPA. "Next-Generation Nonsurgical Neurotechnology [N³]." arpa.mil/research/programs/next-generation-nonsurgical-neurotechnology.
3. Harari, Y. N. 2016. *Homo Deus: A Brief History of Tomorrow*. Harper.
- Musk, E. 2020. Neuralink Progress Update (Presentation). Neuralink.
4. Picard, R. W., et al. 2019. Emotion Recognition from Physiological Data: Toward Machine Emotional Intelligence. MIT Media Lab Technical Reports.
5. Sander, D., & Scherer, K. R. 2020. "Models of Emotion: Neuroscientific Perspectives." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*.
6. Scherer, K. R., & Sander, D. 2020. *The Oxford Companion to Emotion and the Affective Sciences*. Oxford University Press.
7. Stern, J. 2023. *AI Companions and the Paradox of Comfort*. The Atlantic.
8. Yuste, R. et al. 2017. "Four Ethical Priorities for Neurotechnologies and AI." *Nature* 551, 159–163.



About

The Digital Economist, headquartered in Washington, D.C. with offices at One World Trade Center in New York City, is the world's foremost think tank on innovation advancing a human-centered global economy through technology, policy, and systems change. We are an ecosystem of 40,000+ executives and senior leaders dedicated to creating the future we want to see—where digital technologies serve humanity and life.

We work closely with governments and multi-stakeholder organizations to change the game: how we create and measure value. With a clear focus on high-impact projects, we serve as partners of key global players in co-building the future through scientific research, strategic advisory, and venture build out.

We engage a global network to drive transformation across climate, finance, governance, and global development. Our practice areas include applied AI, sustainability, blockchain and digital assets, policy, governance, and healthcare. Publishing 75+ in-depth research papers annually, we operate at the intersection of emerging technologies, policy, and economic systems—supported by an up-and-coming venture studio focused on applying scientific research to today's most pressing socio-economic challenges.

CONTACT: [INFO@THEDIGITALECONOMIST.COM](mailto:info@thedigitaleconomist.com)